

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,283	11/13/2003	Harunori Tashiro	Q78480	5642
23373	7590 03/25/200		EXAMINER	
	MION, PLLC	LUEBKE, RENEE S		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20037			
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/706,283	TASHIRO & FUKUDA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Renee S. Luebke	2833			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput of the provision of the	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 F	ebruary 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) <u> </u>	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)[	)) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		· · · · ·			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	its have been received. Its have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application Number: 10/706,283 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. The replacement of sheet 9 of the drawings was received on February 22, 2005. This drawing is accepted by the examiner.

- 2. Claims 1-4 remain objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. Claim 1 lacks antecedent basis for "the plurality of sheathed conductors arranged in parallel" on lines 5-6. It is suggested that "arranged in parallel" be moved from line 6 to line 3, after "conductors."
- b. In claim 3, "drew out" should be changed to -folded back- as was done elsewhere.
- c. Claim 4 lacks antecedent basis for "the sheathed conductors arranged in parallel" on line 4. It is suggested that "arranged in parallel" be moved from line 4 to line 3, after "conductors."
- d. Lines 15-19 of claim 4 are unclear. The examiner believes that these sections are intended to describe the folding back of the two interconnecting parts and that a distal end portion is formed. Beyond that, the meaning is lost to the examiner.

Appropriate corrections are required.

- 2. Claims 1-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections set forth in this Office action.
- 3. This application is in condition for allowance except for the formal matters discussed above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Application Number: 10/706,283 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

5. It is suggested that responses to this final action be faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Please refrain from sending a confirmation copy, as noted in 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(b).

Alternatively, responses may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke at (571) 272-2009. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (571) 272-2800, extension 33.

Renee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

March 18, 2005